

A Behavioral Interpretation of Resilience and Antifragility

Vincenzo De Florio



MOSAIC

/



Universiteit
Antwerpen

&

 iMinds

vincenzo.deflorio@gmail.com

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0>.

ANTIFRAGILITY



RESILIENCE

BEHAVIOR



RESILIENCE




Behavioristic approach

- Rosenblueth, Wiener, & Bigelow, “Behavior, purpose and teleology”, Phi.Sci. 10 (1943!):
- “Given any **system** relatively abstracted from its **environment** for study, the behavioristic approach consists in the examination of **the output of the system** and of **the relations of this output to the input**.
 - By **output** is meant **any change produced in the environment by the system** .
 - By **input**, conversely, is meant **any event external to the system that modifies this system in any manner**



Behavior




Behavior classes

- From a systemic point of view:
- Passive < active;
random < purposeful <
teleological < predictive .

Resilient behaviors

- **Active behavior** intended to **retain the system identity**
- Aristotelian Entelechy!
"Being at work while staying the same"



Resilient behaviors

- Active behavior, thus
 1. **only purposeful**
 2. **only teleological**
 3. **predictive**

Resilient, only-purposeful behavior

- **ELASTICITY**

“The ability of a body that has been subjected to an external force to recover its size and shape, following deformation”

(McGraw-Hill, 2003)

- No “advanced” behavior; system just makes use of its internal characteristics and resources so as to *mask* the action of external forces

→ Redundancy-based.

Resilient, teleological & predictive behaviors

- **ENTELECHISM**
- System is able to exert **teleological or predictive behaviors**; it continuously adjusts its functions to compensate for changes
- so as to **persist** one's "identity"
- **IDENTITY**: a system's **peculiar and distinctive functional and non-functional** features

Identity

- Ability to "comply to one's definition"
- Related to fidelity: "compliance between corresponding figures of interest in two separate but communicating domains"
- More in "Antifragility = Elasticity + Resilience + Machine Learning. Models and Algorithms for Open System Fidelity", <http://goo.gl/VvtXRt>



Resilient behaviors (cont.d)

- Active behavior, thus
 1. **only purposeful**
 2. **only teleological**
 3. **predictive**
 4. **Auto-predictive & evolving**
- } ELASTICITY
- } ENTELECHISM
- } ANTIFRAGILITY

Computational Antifragility

- Behavior of a system that
 - predicts future sys-env fit
 - learns from the past & evolves
- "Being-at-work while improving-the-self"
- System does not stay the same: The feedback changes the "self"
- Resilience + machine learning (see cited paper)

IDENTITY
PRESERVING
BEHAVIOR


INTERPLAY b/w

→ (SYSTEM, ENVIRONMENT)

←
RESILIENCE


↑
ANTIFRAGILITY

Resilience: Interplay(S, E)




Better not to be too clever in the face of a turbulent environment!

Resilience: Interplay(S, E)



Resilience: Interplay(S, E)



"Behavioral" Game Theory

ENVIRONMENT

		ENVIRONMENT					
		Passive	Random	Purposeful	Teleologic	Predictive	Antifragile
SYSTEM	Passive	?,?	-1,1	-1,1	-1,1	-1,1	-1,1
	Random	1,-1	?,?	-1,1	-1,1	-1,1	-1,1 (*)
	Purposeful	1,-1	1,-1	?,?	-1,1	-1,1	-1,1
	Teleologic	1,-1	-1,1	1,-1	?,?	-1,1	-1,1
	Predictive	1,-1	-1,1	1,-1	1,-1	?,?	-1,1
	Antifragile	1,-1	1,-1 (*)	1,-1	1,-1	1,-1	?,?

(*): if a player can learn that the other one is behaving randomly

Antifragility

- Conjecture: antifragility is (also) the ability to be "auto-resilient":
 - Monitor/Analyze/Learn the behaviors of the "opponent"
 - Adjust one's behavior so as to maximize the chances to "win"
 - Learn from mistakes and successes